Exceptional service in the national interest



A Comparative Analysis of Nuclear Security Enterprise Estimates

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC. a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525. SAND 2019-5415



Terry Josserand Leone Young Wendy Lee





- Objectives (1 -2 minutes)
- Background (10 15 minutes)
 - Nuclear Security Enterprise
 - Life Extension 6.X Process
- Model Concept (5 10 minutes)
 - Data, Methods, Approaches, and Techniques
- Comparative Analysis (2 3 minutes)
- Discussion (5 15 minutes)

Objectives

- Share perspectives and a use-case regarding Nuclear Weapon data and estimating
- Exchange cost related knowledge with other defense programs regarding conventional and classified cost estimating practices
- Share contact information, engage in dialogue, and develop relationships for collaborative efforts

Background



- Sandia National Laboratories Responsible for nuclear weapon systems and components over their entire lifecycle, from original design through final dismantlement and disposal. Responsibility includes design, qualification, certification, and assessment of the non-nuclear subsystems and system qualification as well as integration with delivery vehicles.
- The nation's nuclear weapons must *always* work when commanded and authorized by the President of the US and must *never* detonate otherwise.

https://www.sandia.gov/missions/nuclear_weapons/index.html https://www.senetgo.gov/miss/articles/miss-awards/sandias/internal_Development_&_Training_Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Background Nuclear Weapon Product Lifecycle



New Weapon Development

 Traceable historic and projected United States nuclear weapon program entrance and exit dates by phase

Jossera Rar, as a for the stuttle of the second for the second bana har a second to the second secon



Background

30 years in 2020 since the last new weapon entered development engineering

30 years in 2019 since the last new weapon entered production

Josserand, Terry. Nuclear Weapon Talk. Institute for Defense Analyses. August 2018. SAND Report, Sar R

1979 Honda Civic

The Honda Civic CVCC 5-Speed.

The Honda Civic CVCC 5-Speed is a car built for people who love to drive. The fifth gear is actually overdrive, which is just right for cruising at highway speeds.

The Civic CVCC 5-Speed has many of the earmarks of a sports car. Four-wheel independent MacPherson strut suspension. Rack and pinion steering. Power-assisted front disc brakes. Steel-belted radial tires. A tachometer, red-lined at 6000 rpm. Plus front-wheel drive. But these features are just the beginning. The fuel and temperature gauges are arranged in a compact, easy-to-see layout. So you don't have to crane your neck to check the gas level or temperature. And a combination light switch on the left side of the steering column controls the lights, including the headlight high-low beams.

The Civic CVCC 5-Speed comes with AM radio. Reclining front bucket seats with adjustable headrests. Full carpeting. Opening rear quarter windows. Tinted glass all around. Rear window defroster.



1979 Honda Civic



1979 Honda Civic

								-
The		Civic 1200 2-Dr. Sdn.	Civic 1200 Hatchback	Civic CVCC 2-Dr. Sdn.	Civic CVCC Hatchback	Civic CVCC 5-Speed	Civic CVCC Wagon	1 to
The I peopl overdi highw The C of a sp strut s Power tires. A front-y the be	AM Radio AM/FM Radio AM/FM Stereo Radio AM/FM Stereo Radio with 8-Track Player AM/FM Stereo Radio w/Cassette Player MPX Stereo Speakers – Pocket MPX Stereo Speakers – Surface Wood Gearshift Knob Floor Mats – Color-Keyed Tonneau Cover – Black Body Side Decal Stripes Air Conditioning Cigarette Lighter Intermittent Windshield Wiper Roof Console with Clock Rear Window Defroster Luggage Rack Fender Well Trim Body Side Mouldings Door Edge Guards Front Bumper Override Rear Bumper Override Rear Deck Slats	OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	STD OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	STD OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	STD OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT OPT	ır

OPT = Optional at extra cost NA = Not available STD = Standard at no extra cost



Honda Civic 1979 to 2019

New Weapon Development

Phase 1 Phase 2 Phase 2A Weapon		Phase 3Phase 4DevelopmentProduction		Phase 5 Phase 6 First Quantity	Phase 7 Dismantlement				
Conception	Program Feasibility	Design Definition and Cost Study	Engienering	Engineering	Production	Production	7A Retirement Storage	7B Disassembly and Disposal Engineering	7C Disassembly Disposal
	Life Extension 6.X Process							•	•
Phase 6.1 Concept	Phase 6.2 Feasibility and Option Down Select	Phase 6.2a Design Definition & Cost Study	Phase 6.3 Development Engienering	Phase 6.4 Production Engineering	Phase 6.5 First Production Unit (FPU)	Phase 6.6 Full Scale Production			





Takeaways from NW Background

- No *new* nuclear weapon systems
- System complexity
- Cannot execute full-up nuclear weapons tests
- Unique materials
- Life expectancy

Cost Estimating Methodologies



Cost Estimating Methodologies

Life Extension 6.X Process									
Phase 6.1 Concept Table 11: Three	Phase 6.2 Feasibility and Option Down Select e Cost Estim	Phase 6.2a Design Definition & Cost Study ating Metho	Phase 6.3 Development Engineering ds Compared	Phase 6.4 Production Engineering	Phase 6.5 First Production Unit (FPU)	Phase 6.6 Full Scale Production			
Method	Streng	th	Weakne	ess	Applicatio	n			
Analogy	 Requi Base Rease Good 	ires few data d on actual da onably quick d audit trail	 Subjection Accuration simila Difficution design Blind 	 Subjective adjustments Accuracy depends on similarity of items Difficult to assess effect of design change Blind to cost drivers When few data ar available Rough-order-of-magnitude estimates Cross-check 					
Engineering build-up	 Easily Sens Track Time 	7 audited itive to labor r is vendor quo i honored	ates Slow a tes Cumb	 Requires detailed design Slow and laborious Cumbersome Negotiations 					
Parametric	 Rease Enco Good Obje Cost Incoreffect risk) 	onably quick urages discipl daudit trail ctive, little bia driver visibilit porates real-v ts (funding, te	 Lacks Mode Cultur As Need mode world echnical, 	detail l investment ral barriers to understand l's behavior	 Budgeta Design-to studies Cross-cho Baseline Cost goa 	ry estimates o-cost trade eck estimate I allocations			

Source: © 2003, MCR, LLC, "Cost Estimating: The Starting Point of EVM."

Josserand, Peresented Tat in the 620clis An ICE AGE TO BE COTES SIGNAL DEVElopment & SATURATING Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Model Concept



Types of Historic Nuclear Weapon Data

- Effort
 - Phase process
- Time
 - Fiscal years
- Cost
- Characteristics
 - Weight, interfaces, size, etc.
- Performance
 - Yield, speed, range, etc.
- Schedule
 - Time to develop, deploy, test, etc.
- Socio-political
 - Treaties, legislation, policy

- Reliability
 - Failures, issues, etc.
- Production
 - Numbers, processes, etc.
- System engineering complexity
 - Phase paradigm, age, etc.
- Staff
 - Experience, training, etc.

• Other data...

Josser Par esented patatheu 20 ol 9.5 ICE A Agus Porofessional Development & Martining Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com GAO Cost Estimating Guide, GAO-09-3SP

Types of Historic Nuclear Weapon Data

	Effort	Table 10: Basic Primary and Secon			
		Data type	Primary	Secondary	
	Phase	Basic accounting records	x		
	Time	Data collection input forms	х		
	Ficeal	Cost reports	x	x	C.
	- FISCAL	Historical databases	x	x	
	Cost	Interviews	x	x	
	Character	Program briefs	x	x	es, etc.
_	Character	Subject matter experts	x	x	g complexity
	 Weight, 	Technical databases	x	x	- go otc
	Performa	Other organizations	x	x	ge, etc.
	Yield si	Contracts or contractor estimates		x	
		Cost proposals		x	ng, etc.
	Schedule	Cost studies		x	
	Time to	Focus groups		X	
	Socio-pol	Research papers		X	
		Surveys		x	
	Ireaties	Source: DOD and NASA.			

Josser Profesented at altheu 20 de 9 se ICE A Gus Porofessional Development & MMTstaining Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Model Concept



Two Approaches

- Top-Down
 - System, Subsystem, Major Components
 - Analogous technical data
 - Expert review
- Detailed Engineering Build-Up
 - System, Subsystem, Major Components, Subcomponents, Piece-Parts
 - Analogous technical data
 - Expert review

Model Concept



Techniques for System Parameters and Effort Multipliers

- Material Composition
 - Very High, exotic materials
- SE&I Complexity
 - Very High, system is composed of unique exotic materials and parts of varying ages
- Qualification
 - Very High, system requires qualification unique to conventional systems
- Experience Measures

Experience Measures

Demographics

- Bi-modal age distribution
- 24% 56 and above
- 64% between 31 and 55
- 11% under 30

- 21% eligible for retirement
- 23% of engineers and 18% of scientists eligible for retirement
- Experience shift



Experience Measures

Demographics

- 36% less than 5 years of service
- 70% less than 16 years of service
- Knowledge transfer



Techniques for System Parameters and Effort Multipliers

- Material Composition
 - Very High, exotic materials
- SE&I Complexity
 - Very High, system is composed of unique exotic materials and parts of varying ages
- Qualification
 - Very High, system requires qualification unique to conventional systems
- Experience Measures
 - Low, New Weapon to Life Extension 6.X Process staff retention

Model Concept



Model Output Comparison

Top-Down	System	Engin	Engineering Build-Up System			
Confidence Level	Confidence Level Dev Cost		ce Level	Dev Cost	v Cost	
10%	1.00	10	%	1.06		
20%	1.00	20	%	1.09		
30%	1.00	30	%	1.11		
40%	1.00	40	%	1.13		
50%	1.00	50	%	1.14		
60%	1.00	60	%	1.15		
70%	1.00	70	%	1.15		
80%	1.00	80	%	1.16		
90%	1.00	90	%	1.17		
Mean	1.00	Me	an	1.14		
StdDev	1.00	StdD	Dev	1.21		

Top-Down to Build-Up Delta

70% - 15%

80% - 16%

Model Sensitivity of Part Quantity Detail



Model Output Comparison

Top-Down	System	Engin	Engineering Build-Up System			
Confidence Level	Confidence Level Dev Cost		ce Level	Dev Cost	v Cost	
10%	1.00	10	%	1.06		
20%	1.00	20	%	1.09		
30%	1.00	30	%	1.11		
40%	1.00	40	%	1.13		
50%	1.00	50	%	1.14		
60%	1.00	60	%	1.15		
70%	1.00	70	%	1.15		
80%	1.00	80	%	1.16		
90%	1.00	90	%	1.17		
Mean	1.00	Me	an	1.14		
StdDev	1.00	StdD	Dev	1.21		

Top-Down to Build-Up Delta

70% - 15%

80% - 16%

Discussion Questions/Suggestions?

A Comparative Analysis of Nuclear Security Enterprise Estimates Terry Josserand Email: tmjosse@sandia.gov Phone: (505)206-6441

> SAND2019-XXXX Unclassified Unlimited Release