Presented at the 2011 ISPA/SCEA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

# Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods Combating Complexity in Cost Risk Analysis

Blake Boswell Booz Allen Hamilton

ISPA / SCEA Conference Albuquerque, NM June 2011

# Table Of Contents

- Introduction
- Monte Carlo Methods
- Reducing Simulation Complexity
- Latin Hypercube Hammersley Sequence
- Sobol Sequence
- LHHS: Excel Implementation



1

## Introduction: Probabilistic Methods for Uncertainty Analysis

- Cost analyses rely on probabilistic numerical methods to estimate the impact of risk and uncertainty associated with systems' technical definitions and cost estimating methodologies Such methods involve
  - Modeling risk and uncertainty as probabilistic distributions
  - Applying iterative sampling techniques using Monte Carlo (MC) methods
  - Deriving risk and uncertainty adjusted statistical measures
- The accuracy of statistical measures resulting from probabilistic analyses is directly related to the number of samples considered
- A trade-off exits between accuracy of results and computational complexity
- To combat computational complexity in probabilistic numerical models, systematic sampling approaches have been designed with the goal of achieving accurate statistical measures while using fewer samples than traditional MC methods

#### **Mote Carlo Methods: Quick Review**

- MC methods are based on the analogy between probability and volume
  - Probability can be measured as the volume of a set of outcomes relative to that of a universe of possible outcomes
- MC methods calculate the volume of a set by interpreting the volume as probability
  - The Law of Large Numbers ensures that the MC approximation converges to the correct value as the number of draws increases
  - The Central Limit Theorem provides information about the likely magnitude of the error associated with a finite number of draws



Figure 2: Analytical Derivation of Probability



Figure 3: Monte Carlo Approximation of Probability

#### Monte Carlo Methods: Cost Uncertainty Simulation

- To measure the impact of cost uncertainty, MC methods are used to generate sets of trial costs which are used to quantify the non-deterministic properties inherent to the model. The standard results of such analyses are:
  - Probabilistic cost estimates or S-Curves
  - Descriptive statistics such as Mean, Mode, Variance, Standard Deviation, and CV
- A typical algorithm for performing MC cost uncertainty simulation is outlined in Figure 4:

For 
$$i = 1$$
 to  $n$  [  
Generate RVs:  $\{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_d\} \in U(0, 1)$ ;  
Map  $u_j$  to desired PDF:  $x_j = \text{CDF}_j^{-1}(u_j)$  for  $j = 1...d$ ;  
Calculate model:  $cost_i = f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_d)$ ;  
Save  $cost_i$  ]  
Return  $C = \{cost_1, cost_2, \cdots, cost_n\}$ 

Figure 4: Typical MC Cost Risk Simulation Algorithm

#### Monte Carlo Methods: Excel Implementation

- Excel and its associated programming language, Visual Basic for Applications (VBA), provide a powerful platform on which to conduct MC simulation
- ▶ The generation of U(0,1) RVs is performed by Random Number Generators (RNG)
  - The function "=Rand() " in Excel versions 2003 and later is a quality RNG in that it passes the DIEHARD tests as well as tests developed by the NIST
  - Open source RNG VBA routines are also available: *Mersenne Twister* [1], *HQRND* [2]
- Mapping U(0,1) RVs to PDFs is typically accomplished via the inverse CDF technique
  - Excel has CDF<sup>-1</sup> for Normal, Log Normal, Student's t, Chi-Squared, Beta, Gamma
  - VBA programming allows for custom inverse CDF routines: *Triangular*, *Weibull*
- The Excel platform alone is insufficient for the modeling of interdependencies among stochastic elements
  - Open source VBA code enable modeling of RV interdependence in Excel

<sup>1.</sup> Ronchi, Mariano, http://www.math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp/~m-mat/MT/VERSIONS/BASIC/mt19937arVBcode.txt

## Monte Carlo Methods: Modeling RV Interdependence in Excel

- Modeling RV interdependence is achieved through rank correlation simulation (RCS)
  - RCS involves the re-ordering of RV draws to mimic relationships among stochastic elements
- Published methods for RCS rely on matrix algebra routines not available in Excel
  - Iman-Conover Method [1] is applicable to any distribution and sampling scheme
  - Matrix routines are available in open source VBA numerical libraries such as AlgLib [2]
- RCS is necessary for modeling stochastic interdependence and increases simulation complexity significantly



Figure 5: Impact of Applied RV Correlation

1. R. Iman, W. Conover, "A distribution-free approach to inducing rank correlation among input variables ", 1982.

## **Reducing Simulation Complexity: Stratified Sampling Methods**

- Stratified Sampling refers broadly to any sampling mechanism that constrains the fraction of observations drawn from specific subsets of the sample space [1]
  - Figure 6 depicts a comparison of stratified and MC sampling of RVs~N(1000,400) with 1000 trials in one dimension
  - A major problem with stratified sampling is defining the strata and calculating their associated probabilities. As the dimensions of the sample space increase, stratified sampling becomes less tractable
- Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) is a compromise in that it relies on random pairings of stratified samples, thereby incorporating desirable elements from both MC and stratified sampling



Figure 6: Stratified vs MC

1. P. Glasserman, "Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering", 2003.

# **Reducing Simulation Complexity: Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods**

- Quasi-Monte Carlo (QMC) methods differ from traditional MC in that they make no attempt to mimic randomness
  - QMC methods seek to increase sampling efficiency by generating points that are too evenly distributed to be random
  - The mathematical underpinnings of QMC are number theory and abstract algebra rather than probability and statistics
- QMC methods are prevalent in financial engineering for options pricing
  - Options prices are formulated as expectations, which are approximated by QMC as follows

$$E[f(U_1, U_2, \dots U_d)] = \int_{[0,1)^d} f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

- Where  $x_i$  are **deterministically chosen points** in the unit hypercube
- This process is analogous to uncertainty analysis in cost estimating
  - The expected value is not the only statistic of interest to cost estimators. The set of all  $f(x_i)$  for  $i = 1 \dots n$  corresponds to the set C returned by the algorithm in Figure 4

# Latin Hypercube Hammersley Sequence

Latin Hypercube Hammersley Sequences (LHHS) is a relatively new hybrid sampling method proposed by Wang, Diwekar, and Gregoire Padro [1]

#### Hammersley Sequences (HS)

- HS is a low-discrepancy design for placing *n* points on a *d*-dimensional hypercube
- HS points are formed through a process of representing integers as a set of binary fractions, *X*, and deriving corresponding values, *Y*, by reversing the binary digits of *X*
- Exhibit good uniformity properties over k-dimensional hypercube for k > 2

#### Latin Hypercube Sampling

- In LHS, the range of each input uncertainty variable is divided into *n* disjoint intervals of equal probability and one value is selected at random from each interval
- The *n* values for variable 1 are **randomly paired** with the *n* values of variable 2. These *n* pairs are then combined with the *n* values for variable 3 and so on to form *n k*-tuplets
- Has good uniformity in 1 dimension, but has low multidimensional uniformity due to random pairing

## Latin Hypercube Hammersley Sequence

- LHHS incorporates desirable elements from both LHS and HS by generating samples via LHS to realize better 1 dimensional uniformity and pairing the samples using HS to achieve better multidimensional uniformity
- Pairing correlated LHS and LHHS samples is accomplished via the Iman-Conover method



Figure 7: Inducing Correlation on Input Variables

 LHHS augments the conventional LHS pairing process through an alternative calculation of the Score matrix M(nxd)

# Latin Hypercube Hammersley Sequence

- The Score matrix, *M*, in LHS pairing is a Van der Waerdan score matrix
  - A column vector, *A*, containing elements:  $a_i = \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{i}{n+1}\right)$  i = 1, ..., n is generated and scaled to have a standard deviation 1
  - -A is replicated d times to produce an  $n \ge d$  matrix, M, and each column is randomly shuffled
- LHHS pairing replaces the Van der Waerdan score matrix with the *n* x *d* HS matrix
- LHHS is a good candidate for uncertainty simulation in cost analysis
  - The underlying sampling technique, LHS, is prevalent within the field
  - Correlation is accomplished through a process similar to MC and LHS
  - Exhibits fast convergence and uniformity for low and high dimensions
- Implementing LHHS in Excel is possible through open source C++ routines
  - Routines can be compiled as Dynamic Link Libraries (DLLs) and called from user defined functions in excel

# **Sobol Sequence**

- Sobol Sequence (SS) is a very efficient estimators for solving problems in low dimensions
  - Uses simple base 2 integers for generation of points in all dimensions
  - Operates by a complex reordering process that relies on the coefficients of irreducible primitive polynomials of modulo 2
- In general, SS are not efficient for high dimensional problems
  - Each dimension consist of a reordering of the same elements, creating strong interdependencies between dimensions
  - As dimensionality increases, SS loses its uniformity and exhibits clustering
- SS have proven tractable for certain high dimensional problems in financial engineering
  - Strategic assignment of sources of randomness to initial point coordinates has improved accuracy in *specific* high dimension problems
- SS are not great option for cost uncertainty analysis
  - Overly complex, lack robustness

# LHHS: Excel Implementation

Excel Demo



#### **Contact Info**

