How VAMOSC VIEWS can help you!



Brian Welsh Paul Hardin Elizabeth Koza Walt Cooper

Robert Nehring

Sponsor: Michael Carey, VAMOSC Program Manager

SCEA/ISPA 2012 Joint Annual Conference - Orlando, Florida June 2012 Archnomics

The Science of Informed Decisio

- Introduction
- Applications
 - I. Develop Early-on ROM Estimate
 - 2. Assess Technical Input/Assumptions
 - 3. Perform Cost Assessments
- VIEWS Use in Trade Studies/AoAs
- Conclusions



- Operating and Support (O&S) cost are becoming increasingly important
 - We are entering an environment of reduced budgets within the Department of Defense (DoD) – the need for high-quality, empirically based analysis has never been greater
 - Legislative initiatives, including the Weapon Systems Acquisition Reform Act (WSARA) of 2009 and Section 803 of the FY 2012 NDAA, are aimed squarely at increasing the visibility and use of O&S costs in weapon systems decisionmaking
 - In its assessment of weapon system sustainment programs, the DoD's Product Support Assessment Team concluded that obtaining more insight into O&S costs is critical to determining weapon system affordability
- The Naval Visibility And Management of Operating and Support Costs (VAMOSC) system, which collects and reports US Navy historical O&S costs, provides an excellent foundation from which to addresses these concerns



- Data visualization is becoming an increasingly prevalent and important method of data analysis
 - VAMOSC VIEWS are a graphical representation of Navy
 VAMOSC data that provide useful insights about O&S costs
 for ships, aircraft, and Military Sealift Command (MSC) vessels
 - VAMOSC VIEWS were developed in 2004 and have continued to evolve/expand
 - VAMOSC VIEWS display cost categories including personnel, unit level consumption, maintenance, and modernization costs
 - VAMOSC VIEWS are a visual assessment/validation process that examine O&S cost related trends



- Provides many critical displays based on Navy VAMOSC data
- VAMOSC VIEWS can be accessed from the VAMOSC website and require a VAMOSC user account



VAMOSC VIEWS Applications Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

This brief focuses on 3 applications using VAMOSC VIEWS

- I. Development of Early-on ROM Estimates
- 2. Assessment of Technical Inputs/Assumptions
- 3. Performing Cost Assessments



- Question: What is the next amphibious ship class going to cost annually?
- Information given:
 - 15,000 light ship displacement, long tons (LT)
- Approach:
 - Analyze historical costs per ship ton
 - Examine historical allocation of O&S costs
 - Explore specific cost areas/elements



LPD-17CL

LPD-4CL

LHD-1CL

LSD-49CL

LSD-41CL

LCC-19CL

OLPD-1CL

OLSD

Other

Total Costs versus Ship Weight Amphib FY84 - FY11 Historical Average



- <u>Given</u>: 15,000 LT
- Total annual O&S cost per ship is correlated with displacement
 - <u>Conclusion</u>: total cost at this displacement is ~\$80M



Historical costs per ship ton trend Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Total Costs Amphib Composite



- Latest trend shows that Amphibs have an O&S cost of ~ \$5,500 per ship ton annually
- Based on a 15,000 LT ship that equates to \$82.5M annually



Slide 10

۲ Allocation of VAMOSC Costs **Element** Amphibs FY07 - FY11 Manpower \Box TAD + PCS Training

Technomics

Examine historical allocation of O&S costs Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

- Based on historical experience costs are comprised of many elements ٠
- The major cost drivers are Manpower, Depot Maintenance, and Fuel ۲
- At this level the \$82.5M annual O&S cost can be allocated across elements



2 - Assess Technical Inputs/Assumptions Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.liceaaonline.com

- Questions:
 - Is the estimated crew size reasonable?
 - What is a realistic operating tempo (steaming hours underway (SHU))?
 - Is the estimated fuel consumption reasonable?
- Information given:
 - Light ship displacement : 15,000 LT(previously given)
 - Crew: 500 Enlisted, 40 Officers
 - OPTEMPO: 2,500 SHU per year
 - Propulsion Type: Diesel
- Approach:
 - Assess number of enlisted personnel vs. light ship displacement
 - Assess number of officers vs. number of enlisted personnel
 - Examine historical SHU
 - Examine fuel consumption by light ship displacement and propulsion type



How many enlisted would we expect? Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Enlisted versus Ship Weight Amphib FY84 - FY11 Historical Average



- <u>Given</u>: the new amphib ship class will have 500 enlisted personnel and weigh 15,000 LT
- <u>Conclusion</u>: this appears reasonable based on other ship classes



How many officers would we expect? Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Officers versus Enlisted Amphib FY84 - FY11 Historical Average



- <u>Given</u>: the new amphib ship class will have 40 officers
- <u>Conclusion</u>: given
 500 enlisted, the
 number of officers
 seems reasonable



How many SHU would we expect? Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Annual SHU per Ship Amphib Historical Average FY84 - FY11



- <u>Given</u>: 2,500 SHU per year
- <u>Conclusion</u>: Assessing current amphib classes, 2,500 SHU seems reasonable
- Fluctuations in SHU may be due to the life cycle of the class, the environment and different missions

What fuel consumption might we expect? Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Trailing Workshop - www.icea.aonline.com



- <u>Given</u>: 15,000 LT, Diesel Propulsion
- <u>Conclusion</u>: the ship should consume about 12 barrels per SHU
- If it were steam, fuel
 consumption would be ~25
 barrels per SHU (twice as much)



3 - Perform Cost Assessments Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

- Question: Does the cost estimate being evaluated appear to be reasonable?
- Information given:
 - Same technical info
 - Annual Repair Parts & Repairables Cost per Ship = \$1,350K
 - Annual Supplies Cost per Ship = \$1,250K
 - Average Annual Personnel Cost per Enlisted = \$85K
- Approach:

Technomics

- Assess Repair Parts & Repairables Cost vs. Light Ship Displacement
- Assess Supplies Cost vs. Number of Personnel
- Assess Historical Annual Average Cost per Enlisted

Is the Repair Parts cost reasonable? Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com





- <u>Given</u>: \$1,350K, 15,000 LT
- <u>Conclusion</u>: \$1,350K is lower than expected
- Why might this be?
 - May reflect different maintenance philosophy
 - Or data used to develop estimate was not adjusted for age and full life cycle averages



Age Impacts on Repair Parts & Repairables Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - Www.iceaaonline.com



Technomics

- Maintenance costs increase as ships age
- Data used to develop estimates should be adjusted to reflect full life cycle / age cost impacts

Is the Supplies cost estimate reasonable? Presented at the 2012 SCHA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Supplies (FY12\$K) versus Personnel Amphib Historical Average FY84 - FY11



- <u>Given</u>: a total of 540 personnel, \$1,250K
- <u>Conclusion</u>: \$1,250K for the cost of supplies seems reasonable
- Other VAMOSC VIEWS that show costs driven by the number of personnel include PCS, TAD, Training and Purchased Services



s the average cost per enlisted reasonable? Presented at the 2012 SCE SPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

Enlisted and Officer Pay (FY12\$K) Amphib Composite



- The average cost per enlisted of \$85K is higher than historical data
- What is the average skill mix being assumed for the new amphib ship class compared to current classes?





- These views show the most recent class, LPD-17, has a higher than average enlisted skill mix compared to other classes/composite. This may relate to it having less enlisted per ship ton
 - On the other hand, LPD-17 has a lower average officer skill mix compared to other classes/composite. Maybe this relates to its officer to enlisted ratio being slightly higher than other classes
- The new amphib ship class has a higher than average enlisted pay rate but its number of enlisted personnel per ship ton is not less than average like the LPD-17. Is this reasonable?

Technomics

VIEWS Use in Trade Studies/AoAs Presented at the 2012 SCEA/ISPA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

- As demonstrated in the previous applications, VAMOSC VIEWS can be used to provide cost support for various high level trade-off studies that impact:
 - Ship Size
 - Number of Personnel
 - Propulsion Type
 - OPTEMPO
 - Service Life Extension (Age Impacts)
 - Use of different Ship Types



- In the current environment, understanding O&S costs is critical
- VAMOSC VIEWS are powerful tools that provide both analysts and decision makers an understanding of O&S costs
- VAMOSC VIEWS provide the capability to assess/validate engineering or design assumptions
- VAMOSC VIEWS can stimulate additional questions that can generate important analyses and findings



How VAMOSC VIEWS can help you!

Questions?

Brian Welsh - 571-366-1454 – bwelsh@technomics.net Paul Hardin - 571-366-1407- phardin@technomics.net Elizabeth Koza - 571-366-1420 - ekoza@technomics.net Walt Cooper – 571-366-1459 - wcooper@technomics.net Robert Nehring - 571-366-1441 - rnehring@technomcis.net



