Presented at the 2010 ISPA/SCEA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com

AN FORCE S

BIG & MISSILE SYSTEMS CENTR



Integrated Master Planning Formulation – PMAG Approach

Nancy Droz Dr Mun Kwon

26/MAR/2010



- Introduction
- Importance of IMP
- Understanding of IMP Build
- Content Based IMP Formulation
- IMP Building Experience
- Integrated Master Planning Formulation PMAG Approach
- Lessons Learned
- Summary



Introduction



- The IMP and the related cross referenced IMS are critical artifacts of a disciplined Integrated Program Baseline
 - Reflects IPTs and cross IPTs technical content requirements
 - Reflects the technical solution, its implementation, and all work that must be done
- Program Event Driven Planning via the IMP imposes focus on what must be accomplished to declare program success in completing the contract phases of work
 - Program Events (PE)
 - Significant Accomplishments (SA)
 - Accomplishment Criteria (AC)
- On-the-job and Application Oriented IMP Workshop Training Are Crucial for:
 - Team building
 - Understanding of the program structure
 - Successful IMP creation



Importance of IMP



- IMP is crucial to successful execution of Acquisition programs
 - Provides excellent opportunity for greater knowledge and understanding of the program by all SPO personnel involved
 - Ensures an understanding of PE, SA, AC, and associated tasks
 - Ensures all tasks are integrated properly
 - Ensures clear definition of the Program Scope and the Program Structure
 - Promotes teamwork to address and clarify dependencies
 - Ensures flow of knowledge and understanding among IPTs
- IMP is an important management tool
 - Provides basis for IMS developed by contractors
 - Ensures contractor developed IMS provides sufficient insight on program execution status to the government



- Provide Acquisition professionals with a full understanding of not only What they are doing, but Why they are doing it
- Emphasis on Hands-on IMP Items creation, working with others, and seeing how a program fits together to develop IMP components
 - Hands-on training helps Each Action Officer, Project Manager, Project Engineer, WBS Element Manager, Program manager as An Integrated Team to Understand The IMP Framework
- Understand the Importance Of Developing A Thorough Knowledge Of Key Components Of the Program



- IMP is a part of the contract and an extension of the SOW
- Understand your terminology (ex. "Conducted" vs. "Completed")
- IMP Level of details
 - Define work required to satisfy completion of each program event
 - Focus on external/internal hand-off points
 - Consistent approach driven process through all sections
- IMP events define how the program progresses toward successful delivery
- SA and AC provide definition of success



Understanding IMP Hierarchy

- IMP is a hierarchical event-based plan for accomplishing the "measurable" objectives of the program
 - Identifies key PE, SA, and AC



- **Events** (PE) Major program milestones or assessment points (SDR, PDR, CDR, launch, etc.) substantiating system maturity (initiation or conclusion)
- Significant Accomplishments (SA)– Specified result, substantiating an Event, that indicates design/production maturity (or progress) level for each product or process; Generally a discrete step in the progress of the planned development
- Accomplishment Criteria (AC) Definitive measures substantiating the maturity level of the SA; Completion of specific work that ensures closure of a specified SA

PMAG



What Makes a Good Program Event (PE)?



- Represents the conclusion of an interval of major program activity
- Represents key decision and/or transition points between major activities
- Distribute over the contract period of performance
- Examples of possible program events:
 - Customer requested events
 - Key decisions needed [e.g., down-select of competitive developments; choosing a key implementation, such as ion thrusters vs. liquid propulsion]
 - Risk mitigation event [e.g., completion of a critical payload qualification].
 - Program Milestone events: IBR, SRR, SDR, PDR, CDR, TRR, PCA/FCA, Mission Success Reviews
 - Integrated capability events to demonstrate system maturity



- Indicates completion of discrete steps in the development process
- Indicates maturity of the product
- Significant for measuring program event status
- Relevant and logically linked to the right event
- Progress towards completion can be measured discretely
- SAs are NOT merely a listing of "things" coincident with the system event
 - Preferably, they represent a series of staggered accomplishments each of which leads to the event
 - System Event = CDR completed
 - SA # 1 = CDR CDRLs delivered
 - SA # 2 = Critical methods analyses completed
 - SA # 3 = 85% drawings completed
 - SA # 4 = RVTM approved
 - SA # 5 = Development environment operational
 - SA # 6 = CDR meeting conducted
 - SA # 7 = CDR action item work-off plan established



- Measurable and provides objective, explicit proof of completion
- Defines conditions for closing the significant accomplishment
- Answers "how do I know when an SA has been completed?"
- A single IPT has accountability for its completion



- Not significant
 - Too small to significantly contribute to successful event completion
 - Would lead to trivial tasks (e.g., 1 day duration)
- Ambiguous
- Wrong verb or missing verb
 - Using verbs incorrectly
 - Doesn't have a verb at all
- Not measurable
 - Can't tell when we're done
- Too many more than 10 may indicate:
 - Need for an additional Significant Accomplishment
 - Some may be better identified as IMS Tasks supporting the AC



MAY be placed on contract



IMP Building (Case Study)



- When Things Go Wrong
 - Undisciplined collaborative efforts between Government and Contractor
 - Majority of Government representatives and Contractor representatives were not adequately prepared to produce three layers of IMP
 - Provided a Facilitator without Integrated Program Management Content Based Knowledge
 - IMP Group members preparation and inputs were inadequate
 - When a Government group was well prepared and provided significant inputs, but the inputs were ignored by the biased facilitator
 - The Contractor Key SME/Facilitator was not prepared to handle true program content or a realistic IMP Executable Structure

The PMAG experience shows how a program can craft an IMP at the right time, but still face an impractical IMP as a result of applying wrong methodologies and experiencing dysfunctional cultures



Presented at the 2010 ISPA/SCEA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com Integrated Master Planning Formulation (PMAG Approach)

- Program Start Up/Transition Assistance to SPO's program team
 - Planning and IMP formulation for Program Executability
 - Focus Program Reviews in Technical, Cost, Schedule, Management Control, Resource, Systems Integration
 - Training
 - IMP, IBR, EVMS, Integrated Program Risk Formulation, Schedule Assessment and Analysis
 - Hands-on participation in program reviews
 - Identify Integrated Program Risks
- Joint Collaboration / training including prime and major subs reps
- PMAG work products
 - Application Oriented Training courses
 - Integrated program risks
 - Technical risks
 - Technical Content Based Cost risks
 - Technical Content Based Schedule risks
 - Management Control Processes risks
 - Resource risks
 - Systems Integration Risks
 - PMAG IMP Draft



Presented at the 2010 ISPA/SCEA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com Integrated Master Planning Formulation (PMAG Approach)

PMAG value add

- Details oriented focus (not just top level)
 - Evaluate technical scope of work at individual control account and work packages level in mind
 - Evaluate technical specifications for 100% completion criteria
 - Evaluate technical specification for work products handoff between IPTs and between prime and major subs
 - Evaluate cost and schedule documents

• PMAG focus and findings

- Insufficient and inadequate technical scope definition
- Completion criteria are often missing or insufficiently defined
- Disconnects between customer and prime and major subs on program content of what will be delivered
- Inadequate technical content description for supplemental detailed IMS or Program Schedule tasks/activities

Programs are often not executable due to inadequate and non-specific definition of technical/cost/schedule scope of work



Presented at the 2010 ISPA/SCEA Joint Annual Conference and Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com Integrated Master Planning Formulation (PMAG Workshop Process)

PMAG

- Creation of IMP Early in the Program's Life
- Develop a deep understanding of the program's scope and requirements
- All project office members are accurately aware of the current status of the program and the direction in which it is headed
- Craft IMP Ground Rules and Assumptions
- Extensively review Program Documents
 - Acquisition Strategy, RFP, Contract, CWBS, Schedule, etc
 - Draft Integrated Program Risks
- The PMAG IMP Planning Team to Draft and Provide a IMP Starting Point to Project Office
 - Draft IMP Contains Initial Set of PEs, SAs, and ACs
- Develop Content Based Application Oriented IMP Training & Workshop





- Hands on IMP build assistance to the IPTs and its members in crafting their respective IMP inputs
- Facilitate collaboration and discussions to increase understanding of program dependencies among the IPTs
- Representatives from each IPT gathered at specific times each day to merge the IMP details into a coherent and logical program IMP
- The PMAG team keeps the process moving by simultaneously developing Integrated Program Risks and providing questions for the Program Manager to seek clarification on program structure
 - Convert risks to create appropriate IMP PEs, SAs, ACs to cover the IMP gaps
- Promote Integrated Team Work at all times



IMP Building Example



Characteristics

- Provides event based roadmap aligned with product development
- Allows for combined and collaborative Team Structure
- Increases fidelity
- Identifies critical integration items between IPTs

PMAG





- Collaborative discussions fostered mutual respect and enabled the • program team to develop a holistic understanding of the program
- Daily, focused, and collaborative team execution is what made the IMP • workshop successful

Lessons Learned

(PMAG Approach)

- Use of Application-Oriented training created a real-time, interactive • workshop in which understanding could be fostered, materials created, and results evaluated almost instantaneously
- Essential to integrate a diversity of approaches to IMP formulation ۲
 - Different IPTs approaching the program from different perspectives then defend their inputs during the integration of the IMP details
 - IMP integration process consisted of talking through opinions among individuals from different IPTs and choosing different IPT representatives each day for IMP integration



- Bottom-up IMP integration process enabled the Program Office to develop a better understanding of dependencies among the IPTs and what the program truly required
- True understanding of a Program Came from actual application-oriented touch time instead of didactic learning
- Inexperienced IMP team members demonstrated that they can learn the essentials of IMP generation through disciplined reading of the materials and guides available
 - Through detailed training by IMP experts on the team and after long, diligent preparation
- Disciplined, focused activity, and Touch-Time enabled program acquisition professionals to truly get involved and understand the program
 - Entire program office benefitted
 - Help project members become one integrated team vs. functional teams
- Project personnel become better educated and more capable as acquisition professionals



- Useful IMP should reflect key items from Acquisition Strategy, SOO, SOW, RFP objectives/evaluation criteria to place into the IMP
- Essential to create top-down IMP accomplishments/criteria with management and IPT leads and IPT members
- Essential to keep IMP integrated with all the program baselines
 - Once you have an agreed to set of PEs/SAs/ACs, lock it down and require Program Management approval for any changes
- Do not confuse between Program Office program IMP vs. contract IMP
- Appropriate quantity of SAs and ACs
 - Rule of thumb: 2-10 SAs per Event and 2-10 ACs per SA....but use good judgment
 - Enhance program executability



Summary



- Event-based planning provides the foundation for managing the execution of the program
- Robust IMP planning enhances government team's understanding of program foundation
- Promote organic IMP formulation capabilities that clearly connects IMS tasks through the IMP/IMS analysis and assessment
- Clearly defined work scope: Everybody understands what is in scope and the implementation strategy
- Clear Traceability: All the product structures align (product, documentation, WBS, OBS, IMP/IMS)
- Requires disciplined and systematic hands-on approach to IMP planning, formulation, and implementation