

Christian Smart, Ph.D., CCEA Director, Cost Estimating and Analysis Missile Defense Agency

Introduction

- When I was in college, my mathematics and economics professors were adamant in telling me that I needed at least two data points to define a trend
 - It turns out this is wrong
 - You can define a trend with only one data point, and even without any data
- A cost estimating relationship (CER), which is a mathematical equation that relates cost to one or more technical inputs, is a specific application of trend analysis which in cost estimating is called parametric analysis
- The purpose of this presentation is to discuss methods for applying parametric analysis to small data sets, including the case of one data point, and no data































Continuous Version of Bayes' Theorem (1 of 2) If the prior distribution is continuous, Bayes' Theorem is written as $\pi(\theta|x_1, ..., x_n) = \frac{\pi(\theta)f(x_1, ..., x_n|\theta)}{f(x_1, ..., x_n)} = \frac{\pi(\theta)f(x_1, ..., x_n|\theta)}{\int \pi(\theta)f(x_1, ..., x_n|\theta)d\theta}$ where

 $\pi(\theta)$ is the prior density function $f(x/\theta)$ is the conditional probability density function of the model $f(x_1,...,x_n/\theta)$ is the conditional joint density function of the data given Θ

9

Continuous Version of Bayes' Theorem (2 of 2) $f(x_1,...,x_n) \text{ is the unconditional joint density function of the data}$ $f(x_1,...,x_n) = \int \pi(\theta)f(x_1,...,x_n|\theta)d\theta$ $\pi(\theta/x_1,...,x_n) \text{ is the posterior density function, the revised density based on the data}$ $f(x_{n+1}/x_1,...,x_n) \text{ is the predictive density function, the sample data:}$ $f(x_{n+1}|x_1,...,x_n) = \int f(x_{n+1}|\theta)\pi(\theta|x_1,...,x_n)d\theta$

Application of Bayes' Theorem to OLS: Background

19

20

 Consider ordinary least squares (OLS) CERs of the form

$$Y = a + bX + \varepsilon$$

where *a* and *b* are parameters, and ϵ is the residual, or error, between the estimate and the actual

• For the application of Baye's Theorem, re-write this in mean deviation form

$$Y = \alpha_{\overline{x}} + \beta(X - \overline{X}) + \varepsilon$$

• This form makes it easier to establish prior inputs for the intercept (it is now the average cost)

$$\begin{split} & \text{Application of Bayes' Theorem to OLS:}\\ & \text{Likelihood Function (1 of 6)} \end{split}$$

of Given a sample of data points $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$ the likelihood function can be written as
$$L(\alpha_{\overline{x}}, \beta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(Y_i - (\alpha_{\overline{x}} + \beta(X_i - \overline{x}))\right)^2\right)$$
$$= exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_i - (\alpha_{\overline{x}} + \beta(X_i - \overline{x}))\right)^2\right)$$

of The expression $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_i - (\alpha_{\overline{x}} + \beta(X_i - \overline{x}))\right)^2$ can be simplified as
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(Y_i - \overline{Y} + \overline{Y} - (\alpha_{\overline{x}} + \beta(X_i - \overline{x}))\right)^2$$

$$\begin{split} & \textbf{P} \\ \textbf{P$$







$$$$

<text><text><text><equation-block><text><text><text><text><text>

Application of Bayes' Theorem to OLS: The
Posterior (1 of 2)
• By Bayes' Theorem, the joint posterior density
function is proportional to the joint prior times the
joint likelihood

$$g(\alpha_{\overline{X}}, \beta / \{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}) = g(\alpha_{\overline{X}}, \beta) \cdot sample likelihood(\alpha_{\overline{X}}, \beta)$$

• If the prior density for β is normal with mean m_{β} and
variance s_{β}^2 the posterior is normal with mean m_{β} and
variance $s_{\beta}'^2$ where
 $m_{\beta}' = \frac{1/s_{\beta}^2}{1/s_{\beta}'^2}m_{\beta} + \frac{SS_x/\sigma^2}{1/s_{\beta}'^2}B$
and
 $\frac{1}{s_{\beta}'^2} = \frac{1}{s_{\beta}^2} + \frac{SS_x}{\sigma^2}$

Application of Bayes' Theorem to OLS: The Posterior (2 of 2)

• If the prior density for $\alpha_{\overline{X}}$ is normal with mean $m_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}$ and variance $s^2_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}$ the posterior is normal with mean $m_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}$ and variance $s^2_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}$ where

$$m_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}^{'} = \frac{1/s_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}^2}{1/s_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}^{'}} m_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}} + \frac{n/\sigma^2}{1/s_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}^{'}} A_{\overline{X}}$$

$$\frac{1}{s_{\alpha_{\bar{X}}}^{\prime}} = \frac{1}{s_{\alpha_{\bar{X}}}^2} + \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$$

28

27

Application of Bayes' Theorem to OLS: The Predictive Equation

• In the case of a normal likelihood with a normal prior, the mean of the predictive equation is equal to the mean of the posterior distribution, i.e.,

$$\mu_{n+1} = m_{\alpha_{\overline{X}}}' + m_{\beta}'(X_{n+1} - \overline{X})$$









The Precision Theorem (3 of 4)

Note that the second derivative is

 $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{''}(\boldsymbol{w}) = 2Var\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_1\big) + 2Var\big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_2\big)$

ensuring that the solution will be a minimum

• The solution to this equation is

$$w = \frac{Var(\widetilde{\theta}_2)}{Var(\widetilde{\theta}_1) + Var(\widetilde{\theta}_2)}$$





18









20



























NAFCOM's First Pound Methodology (2 of 2)

- The slope is assumed, and then the *a* parameter is calculated by calibrating the data to one data point or to a collection of data points (Hamaker 2008)
- As explained by Joe Hamaker (Hamaker 2008), "The engineering judgment aspect of NAFCOM assumed slopes is based on the structural/mechanical content of the system versus the electronics/software content of the system. Systems that are more structural/mechanical are expected to demonstrate more economies of scale (i.e. have a lower slope) than systems with more electronics and software content. Software for example, is well known in the cost community to show diseconomies of scale (i.e. a CER slope of b > 1.0)—the larger the software project (in for example, lines of code) the more the cost per line of code. Larger weights in electronics systems implies more complexity generally, more software per unit of weight and more cross strapping and integration costs-all of which dampens out the economies of scale as the systems get larger. The assumed slopes are driven by considerations of how much structural/mechanical content each system has as compared to the system's electronics/software content.".







<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

29













Subayata m/ Crayn		L Elizabi I Inii
Subsystem/Group	DDT&E	Flight Unit
Antenna Subsystem	0.0338	0.0101
Aerospace Support Equipment	0.0698	0.0982
Autorice Control/Guidance and Navigation Subsystem	0.0120	0.0059
Avionics Group	0.0055	0.0044
Communications and Command and Data Handing Group	0.0055	0.0003
	0.0208	0.0141
Data Management Subsystem	0.0820	0.0005
Environmental Control and Life Support Subsystem	0.0040	0.2662
Electrical Power and Distribution Group	0.0064	0.0043
Electrical Power Subsystem	0.0878	0.0161
Instrumentation Display and Control Subsystem	0 1009	0.0665
Launch and Landing Safety	0.0960	0.0371
Liquid Rocket Engines Subsystem	0.1234	0.0483
Mechanisms Subsystem	0.0050	0.0167
Miscellaneous	0.0686	0.0784
Power Distribution and Control Subsystem	0.0106	0.0053
Propulsion Subsystem	0.2656	0.1730
Range Safety Subsystem	*	*
Reaction Control Subsystem	0.0144	0.0092
Separation Subsystem	*	*
Solid/Kick Motor Subsystem	0.0302	0.0105
Structures Subsystem	0.0064	0.0038
Structures/Mechanical Group	0.0029	0.0023
Thermal Control Subsystem	0.0055	0.0045
Thrust Vector Control Subsystem	0.7981	0.0234





Example As an example of applying the first pound priors to • actual data, suppose we re-visit the environmental control and life support (ECLS) subsystem The log-transformed ordinary least squares best fit ٠ is provided by the equation $\widetilde{Cost} = 0.4070 W t^{0.6300}$ 1,000 100 Cost (\$M) 10 1 100 1.000 10.000 Weight (Lbs.) 68







Similarity Between Bayesian and First Pound Methods (2 of 2)

- In the case this is the same as the calculation of the a-value from the normal equations in the regression
- For small data sets we expect the overall b-value to be similar to the prior b-value
- Thus NAFCOM's first-pound methodology is very similar to the Bayesian approach
- Not only is the first-pound method a Bayesian framework but it can be considered as an approximation of the Bayesian method









PA-3 - Bayesian Parametrics Developing a CER with Limited Data and Even Without Data

