Overview of Today's Discussion









Time









Time





Time



In addition to measures against the plan, EVM data also includes updated estimates of costs at completion







Issue #1: Budgets at Completion and Estimates at Completion (or LREs) are often significantly underestimated



Assume a company is submitting a bid on a competitive cost reimbursable contract that is over \$50M for a new product





The company decides that some risks are unlikely to occur and they are willing to accept these risks in their estimate





Management determines that the price needs to be lowered in order to ensure a competitive bid





The EVM Budget at Completion is then based on a "bid to win" price with much of the known risk stripped out





Unfortunately, the known risk that a company has accepted is nowhere to be found in EVM reporting





Oftentimes a program runs into issues that were not anticipated when the budget or EACs were developed





Below are a few examples of potential unforeseen risks that may not be accounted for in BACs / EACs

Late engineering, engineering changes, and scope creep

Optimistic Estimates





Tooling, equipment, facilities, and process issues



Material Delays / Quality issues



Excessive Re-work / Re-testing



Environmental Issues (e.g., weather delays, labor strikes)



It is up to the skilled cost estimator to determine the gap between the budget and the actual cost at completion





EACs are carefully managed by program managers and often adjusted downward for political reasons







EACs are carefully managed by program managers and often adjusted downward for political reasons







Remember the Threshold of Political Viability when deciding whether or not to trust a reported EAC







Consider the role of the EVM analyst relative to the role of the cost estimator when analyzing EVM data



- Develop a plan to execute within budget (whether realistic or not)
- Ensure reported EACs are acceptable to management
- Strike a balance between budgeting for risk and padding estimates
- Explain variances to plan and make adjustments to the plan as needed



- Determine if the plan is reasonable and executable
- Ensure EACs are accurate and reflect all remaining work
- Evaluate risk and ensure the estimate includes an appropriate level of risk
- Use independent data to crosscheck EACs and estimating assumptions



Time





BAC =1,000 Hours of Work to complete 100 engineering drawings

After the first 25 drawings are completed, 25% progress is used to calculate BCWP (250 hours)













After accounting for the additional in-scope work, the actual percent complete is ~14% instead of the reported 25%.



Issue #3: Schedule risk is rarely accounted for in BACs or EACs



BAC =1,000 Hours of LOE Systems Engineering Support over 10 months

















Using the Gold Card Formulas gives SPI = 1.0, CPI = 1.1 and EAC equal to 900 Hours.





A schedule risk assessment indicates the schedule is likely to slip 3 months resulting in an additional 270 hours and a risk-adjusted EAC of 1,170 hours. This is 30% higher than the EAC derived from the gold card formula.



Despite the issues with EVM, there are steps you can take to avoid being misled by the data

Issue #1: Budgets at Completion and Estimates at Completion (or LREs) are often significantly underestimated





Ensure that all known risks have been accounted for in EAC projections



Get the program risk log or risk register and ensure that all risks are included in your estimate



Look at what went wrong on similar programs; it may give you clues into unknown risks that you should factor into your estimate



Participate in Integrated Baseline reviews; ask probing questions to see if risks have been accounted for



At a minimum, ask about rework and retesting as nearly all programs will realize these risks



Despite the issues with EVM, there are steps you can take to avoid being misled by the data

Issue #2: Progress is often overstated due to unplanned work and the realization of known and unknown risks





Use EVM data from programs that are greater than 80% complete to make EAC projections if available



Evaluate the progressing method for % complete and ask about risk, rework, and unplanned work

Read the variance reports to see if issues are being deferred which may lead to work being "pushed to the right"



Monitor risks to see if risks that are realized are appropriately incorporated into EACs



Despite the issues with EVM, there are steps you can take to avoid being misled by the data

Issue #3: Schedule risk is rarely accounted for in BACs or EACs

BAC =1,000 Hours of LOE Systems Engineering Support over 10 months
50% of scheduled time has passed. BCWS = 50 Hours.
Since it's an LOE account, BCWP = BCWS = 500 Hours
ACWP = 450 Hours, 90 Hours / month
270 Hours

Get smarter about schedule analysis; it is often the biggest cost driver and cost estimators don't study it enough



Ensure that a proper Schedule Risk Assessment is conducted; review schedule risks regularly



Build your cost model so that schedule risk can be incorporated and updated regularly; determine where schedule will drive costs



At a minimum, ensure all LOE accounts incorporate schedule risk



Questions?



