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What is Price To Win?

Price To Win is the process of determining the bid price required to be successful

The Pillars of PTW are understanding:

- **Who** the competition is
- **What** the competition will propose
- **How** the competition will likely attempt to win (Strategies)

**Ethics** rigorously underpins PTW at Northrop Grumman

- Can’t use data you shouldn’t have
  - just because it’s in the public domain doesn’t make it usable
- No skirting non-compete agreements
- Reverence boundaries in “gray areas”
Price To Win, a bit more

Disciplines of PTW

**Essentially all the disciplines of capture!**
The PTW analyst prepares for the competitor much of what the entire capture team does for the company

1. The proverbial *Ethical Sleuth*
   - Doing all you can to understand the competition
   - Looking at information about strategies they use

2. Functional Expertise
   - Need to understand FAR compliant **Pricing** practices
   - Need to understand **HR & Staffing** issues
   - Need to understand **Contracts** and geometries
   - Need to understand acquisition evaluation process

3. Creative thinker, *but*…
   - Create an entire strategy for each competitor
   - Need to distill it down to a cost model in the end
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Business Sectors

Aerospace Systems
Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems is a premier provider of military aircraft, autonomous and space systems and next-generation solutions to assist our customers worldwide, preserve freedom and advance human discovery.

Mission Systems
Northrop Grumman Mission Systems is a leading global provider, manufacturer and integrator of advanced, secure and agile software-defined systems and solutions. Our differentiated C4ISR and cyber solutions deliver timely, mission-enabling information and provide superior situational awareness and understanding to protect the U.S. and its global allies.

Technology Services
Northrop Grumman Technology Services is a global provider of innovative, cost effective solutions. From sustainment and modernization, supply chain management, training and simulation, and high technology services, we offer a full-spectrum of support.
What bidding behavior would this incentivize?

How will people try to game it?

How do I model it?
1. **Everything is different!**
   - Intuition is likely wrong
   - Acquisition rules, process, decision makers, et al

2. **Understanding the labor market**
   - Probably not like US

3. **Too much US help**
   - Tendency is to send help to do things the “right” way
   - In-country work content
   - Mentoring organic capabilities
Comprehensive Understanding of Customer Landscape

Assigned to an existing capture activity…

Key metrics & values had been created much earlier… and decisions were being made...

PTW first cut analysis had very different results...approx 5x higher!

As an independent evaluator, PTW can keep programs on track with a verified image of the opportunity
Customers experience budget constraints, and continuously expect innovations & efficiencies over time...

PTW is the front end of recognizing these forces and driving tradeoffs to increase value.

What to do???
• **Become more efficient (again!)**
• **Cut costs – Salaries? Benefits?**

PTW can uncover and force discussions about business concerns earlier than otherwise.
"The PTW is unrealistic!!"

PTW forces capture process to examine biases

We all have biases – decision, belief, social et al

PTW’s job is to be the honest broker and point out that other companies may not hold the same biases.

Responses
- Some embrace it…
- “…there’s some dysfunction in the PTW organization…”

PTW forces the capture process to focus on achieving a price with a high likelihood of winning
# International Projects II: Divided by a Common Language

## Two additional challenges

1. **Lack of face-to-face time**
   - Dependence on email

2. **Dialect nuances**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What the They Say</th>
<th>What Others Understand</th>
<th>What They Really Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>That’s Not Bad…</td>
<td>That’s Good !</td>
<td>That’s Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That’s a Brave Proposal</td>
<td>They Think I have Courage</td>
<td>You’re Insane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite Good</td>
<td>Quite Good</td>
<td>A Bit Disappointing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’ll Bear it in Mind</td>
<td>They’ll Probably Do it</td>
<td>I’ve Forgotten it Already</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Only Have a Few Minor Comments</td>
<td>There are a Few Typos</td>
<td>Please Rewrite Completely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With the Greatest Respect</td>
<td>They’re Listening to Me !</td>
<td>You’re an Idiot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I Hear What You Say</td>
<td>They Accept My Point of View</td>
<td>I Disagree &amp; Don’t Want to Discuss Any Further</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Driving Past Cognitive Biases

Danger of seeing only one “real solution” – & Underestimating the ability of others to be more creative

Program specified that specific hardware (owned by another contractor) had to be involved

Obvious strategy was to exclusively team with the other contractor…

Other people had a different solution…

In the end, customer decided that teaming didn’t matter –

PTW’s job is to keep ourselves bias-free And to push back when capture underestimates others
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THE VALUE OF PERFORMANCE.
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